UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 6/8/2023 2:52 pm

FILED CLERK

U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK LONG ISLAND OFFICE

ROBERT SAMPSON,

22-CV-5120 (JMA) (AYS)

Plaintiff, :

: May 4, 2023

V. : Central Islip, NY

NATIONAL BOARD OF MEDICAL :

EXAMINERS,

Defendant.

----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOAN M. AZRACK UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: MARY VARGAS, ESQ.

Stein & Vargas LLP

10 G Street NE, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20002

For the Defendant: CAROLINE MEW, ESQ.

Perkins Coie LLP

700 13th Street, NW, Suite 800

Washington, DC 20005-3960

Court Transcriber: ARIA SERVICES, INC.

c/o Elizabeth Barron

274 Hovey Road Milo, ME 04463 Aria@leinen.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
1
               THE CLERK: Case 22-CV-5120, Sampson v.
 2
    National Board of Medical Examiners. Counsel, state
 3
    your appearances please and then Judge Azrack will call
 4
    in.
 5
               MS. VARGAS: Good morning. This is Mary
 6
    Vargas for the plaintiff as well as my co-counsel,
 7
    Charles Weiner, and my client, Mr. Robert Sampson.
 8
               THE CLERK:
                           Thank you.
 9
               MS. MEW:
                          Caroline Mew and Adam Mandelsberg
10
    for the National Board of Medical Examiners.
11
               THE CLERK: Great, thanks so much. Judge
12
    Azrack will call in, in a moment.
13
               THE COURT: Good morning, it's Judge Azrack.
14
               MS. VARGAS: Good morning, your Honor.
15
               MS. MEW: Good morning, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Ms. Vargas, you requested
17
    a conference?
18
               MS. VARGAS: Yes. With the Court's
19
    permission, I asked to be heard on behalf of Mr.
20
    Sampson.
2.1
                           Sure, go ahead.
               THE COURT:
22
               MS. VARGAS:
                            This morning, Robert Sampson
23
    stands in the wake of the NBME's destruction.
24
    times Mr. Sampson applied for accommodations from the
25
    NBME.
           With each new registration, the NBME required
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
him to pay exorbitant fees in order to have his
accommodation request even considered and then denied.
Each year Mr. Sampson missed the residency match took
away and continues to take away not only a year of his
earnings but diminishes his ability to obtain a
residency at all. He's a medical student who's had to
pay out of pocket what he was able and continues to
face almost half a million dollars in legal fees and
costs because of the NBME's actions, money spent just
to access his legal rights.
           Two weeks ago, the NBME took yet another
precious day away from Mr. Sampson's studying and
argued in the U.S. Court of Appeals that Mr. Sampson is
not entitled to accommodations. Last night, the NBME
claims to have reversed course, in a transparent effort
to evade an order of this Court. And just as he always
was, Mr. Sampson is at their mercy. At any point, they
can retract the accommodations letter issued last
night, changing course on a dime.
           The NBME has gone into Mr. Sampson's world
and broken everything around him, in violation of --
           THE COURT:
                      I need to stop you there.
can't imagine that the Board would do that but why
don't we just -- why don't you just stipulate to it?
Who is on the -- Mr. Mandelsberg is on the phone.
```

```
1
    mean, are you really concerned about that?
 2
               MS. VARGAS: Yes, we are, your Honor.
 3
    NBME's letter issued last night itself on page two
    specifies that Mr. Sampson must reapply for
 4
 5
    accommodations each time he takes a step of the USMLE.
 6
    So we do request and Mr. Sampson does need an order of
 7
    protection, and Rule 65 permits a preliminary
    injunction motion to be transformed into a permanent
 8
 9
    injunction, and that is what he seeks.
10
               MS. MEW: Your Honor, this is Carline Mew
11
    for the National Board of Medical Examiners.
12
    clarify the statement in the letter about requesting
13
    accommodations, it's simply for him to confirm that he
14
    wants the accommodations on a later test. NBME has
15
    unequivocally committed in that letter to providing him
16
    accommodations not only on his upcoming tests but to
17
    subsequent administrations of step 2CK and step three
18
    of the USMLE, and I repeat that unequivocal commitment
19
    right now. The accommodations will be provided.
20
               THE COURT: Yeah, I understand that.
21
               Okay, so why don't we just let Mr. Sampson
22
    study for step one and move on? I mean, I don't have
23
    any reason to believe that the Board is not going to
24
    stand by what Ms. Mew just said, so what's the relief
25
    you're seeking today, Ms. Vargas?
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

```
MS. VARGAS: Today, we seek an order to give
Mr. Sampson certainty that the position of the NBME
will not change, that he will not be denied
accommodations when he seeks them.
           THE COURT: Ms. Mew just committed on the
record that that's the case. She committed on the
record that that's the case, so there's no reason to do
anything other than for Mr. Sampson to put his head
down and keep studying and take the step one and move
on from there. If you want to put something in writing
about why you think you need more, be my quest.
don't think you need more and I think the Board has
stepped up and put on the record in a recorded
conference to a federal judge what they believe -- what
they see their obligations are, and I don't see any
reason to doubt them. So if you want to put something
in writing, you can, but I don't think you need to.
          MS. VARGAS: Thank you, your Honor.
           THE COURT: Okay, all right, thank you.
Thanks, everybody. Please wish Mr. Sampson good luck.
           Thank you very much, Ms. Mew. Thank you.
          MS. MEW:
                    Thank you.
          MS. VARGAS:
                      Your Honor, this is Mary
Vargas, if I could ask one more question.
           THE COURT:
                       Sure.
```

```
1
               MS. VARGAS: Will the Court be setting a
 2
    scheduling order to proceed with the remaining relief
 3
    sought in this litigation?
               THE COURT: What is the remaining relief
 4
 5
    sought?
 6
               MS. VARGAS: Mr. Sampson has a claim for
 7
    damages. He's suffered incredible damages, financial
    losses, as the result of the denial of accommodations
 8
 9
    by the NBME. So he seeks declaratory relief as well as
10
    the damages incurred, and of course attorneys' fees and
11
    costs.
12
               THE COURT: Well, it seems to me you're
13
    getting all the relief that you asked for. You know,
14
    I'll have to have briefing on whether there are damages
15
    that you're entitled to, so why don't you confer and
16
    come up with a briefing schedule?
17
               MS. VARGAS: Thank you, your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Anything else?
19
               MS. VARGAS: Not at this time.
20
               MS. MEW:
                         No, your Honor, thank you.
2.1
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you.
22
23
24
25
```

į	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	I certify that the foregoing is a correct
19	transcript from the electronic sound recording of the
20	proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
21	
22	
23	Emy_
24	
25	ELIZABETH BARRON June 8, 2023